Council on Postsecondary Education
Public University Funding Model
Actual Distributions and Hypothetical Performance Distributions

MoSU Motion 1 - "Efficiency of Square Footage and State Workforce Contributions"
Scenario 1: Remove current Square Footage metric and replace with Workforce metric

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$35,748,600	($8,515,900)	($8,054,300)
University of Louisville	20,749,300	21,210,900	461,600	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	8,663,900	3,337,500	+ 8,054,300
Kentucky State University	0	0	0	
Morehead State University	0	0	0	
Murray State University	4,808,400	4,579,200	(229,200)	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	12,292,200	2,130,800	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	7,208,100	2,815,200	
	$89,702,900	$89,702,900	$0	$0

[1] Scenario 1 eliminates the current Square Footage metric (10% of model) and replaces it with a new workforce metric (10%). The workforce metric used is provided by KYSTATS and provides the count of students who have completed a degree/credential at a public university (highest credential completed) and either re-enroll in a degree/credential or are working in Kentucky three years after completing their degree/credential. The workforce metric takes a three-year average production by institution and calculates each institution's share of outcomes produced. No sector weighting is provided for the Workforce metric. The scenario retains all other model specifications, including the parity adjustment.

Council on Postsecondary Education
Public University Funding Model
Actual Distributions and Hypothetical Performance Distributions

MoSU Motion 2 - "Affordability"

Scenario 2: Add dual credit hours earned to existing credit hour production metric (sector weights retained)

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$43,709,100	($555,400)	($816,300)
University of Louisville	20,749,300	20,488,400	(260,900)	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	5,418,800	92,400	+ 816,300
Kentucky State University	0	0	0	
Morehead State University	0	0	0	
Murray State University	4,808,400	4,981,200	172,800	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	10,570,400	409,000	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	4,535,000	142,100	
	$89,702,900	$89,702,900	$0	$0

[1] Scenario 2 provides a hypothetical distribution that incorporates credit hours earned by dual credit students into the existing earned credit hour metric (30% of model). Dual credit hours earned are not weighted by level, but all other credit hour weightings are retained.

MoSU Motion 2 - "Affordability"

Scenario 2.1: Add dual credit hours earned to existing credit hour production metric (sector weights removed)

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$40,201,000	($4,063,500)	($6,667,400)
University of Louisville	20,749,300	18,145,400	(2,603,900)	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	6,987,400	1,661,000	
Kentucky State University	0	0	0	
Morehead State University	0	0	0	+ 6,667,400
Murray State University	4,808,400	5,935,500	1,127,100	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	12,245,600	2,084,200	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	6,188,000	1,795,100	
	$89,702,900	$89,702,900	$0	$0

[1] Scenario 2.1 provides a hypothetical distribution that incorporates credit hours earned by dual credit students into the existing earned credit hour metric (30% of model). Dual credit hours earned are not weighted by level, but other level weights for credit hours are retained.

Council on Postsecondary Education
Public University Funding Model
Actual Distributions and Hypothetical Performance Distributions

MoSU Motion 3 - "Stability"

Scenario 3: Base adjustment from Performance Pool (5% of ANGF; parity adjustment)

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment	Hypothetical Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment + Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$9,760,800	$33,414,400	$43,175,200	($1,089,300)	($1,800,300)
University of Louisville	20,749,300	6,565,500	13,472,800	20,038,300	(711,000)	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	3,185,400	1,835,800	5,021,200	(305,200)	
Kentucky State University	0	967,200	0	967,200	967,200	
Morehead State University	0	1,833,300	0	1,833,300	1,833,300	+ 1,364,300
Murray State University	4,808,400	2,121,400	2,353,200	4,474,600	(333,800)	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	2,650,700	7,152,000	9,802,700	(358,700)	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	3,534,700	419,700	3,954,400	(438,500)	
Sector Subtotal	89,702,900	30,619,000	58,647,900	89,266,900	(436,000)	
KCTCS	25,297,100	9,143,100	16,590,000	25,733,100	436,000	+ 436,000
	$115,000,000	$39,762,100	$75,237,900	$115,000,000	$0	$0

[1] Scenario 3 takes 5% of each institution's Adjusted Net General Fund (ANGF) and rolls that value from the Performance Fund to each institution's base. This effectively creates a new Adjusted Net General Fund used to calculate allocable resources for each institution, with the increase being equivalent to 5% of the current ANGF. Remaining funds are run through the university model (~$58.6 million) and the parity adjustment is retained.

MoSU Motion 3 - "Stability"

Scenario 3.1: Base adjustment from Performance Pool (5% of ANGF) and Distribution by each institution's share of production (i.e., Formula Share)

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment	Hypothetical Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment + Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$9,760,800	$20,526,800	$30,287,600	($13,976,900)	($15,258,200)
University of Louisville	20,749,300	6,565,500	12,902,500	19,468,000	(1,281,300)	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	3,185,400	5,982,100	9,167,500	3,841,100	+ 14,822,200
Kentucky State University	0	967,200	762,400	1,729,600	1,729,600	
Morehead State University	0	1,833,300	2,639,200	4,472,500	4,472,500	
Murray State University	4,808,400	2,121,400	3,929,400	6,050,800	1,242,400	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	2,650,700	5,395,600	8,046,300	(2,115,100)	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	3,534,700	6,509,900	10,044,600	5,651,700	
Sector Subtotal	89,702,900	30,619,000	58,647,900	89,266,900	(436,000)	
KCTCS	25,297,100	9,143,100	16,590,000	25,733,100	436,000	+ 436,000
	$115,000,000	$39,762,100	$75,237,900	$115,000,000	$0	$0

[1] Scenario 3.1 takes 5% of each institution's Adjusted Net General Fund (ANGF) and rolls that value from the Performance Fund to each institution's base. This effectively creates a new Adjusted Net General Fund used to calculate allocable resources for each institution, with the increase being equivalent to 5% of the current ANGF. The new ANGF is then used to calculate Formula Share using all current model metrics. This methodology results in a system-wide $39.8 million base adjustment, with $58.6 million being run through the university model. No parity adjustment is used, and funds are distributed according to the Formula Share.

Council on Postsecondary Education
Public University Funding Model
Actual Distributions and Hypothetical Performance Distributions

MoSU Motion 4 - "Small School Adjustment - Revised"
Scenario 4: Base adjustment using 2017 institutional contribution to the performance pool, with parity adjustment

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment	Hypothetical Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment + Distribution	Difference by Institution		Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$13,351,400	$30,292,300	$43,643,700	($620,800)	}	($1,010,500)
University of Louisville	20,749,300	6,640,900	13,718,700	$20,359,600	(389,700)		
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	3,248,600	1,897,400	$5,146,000	(180,400)	}	
Kentucky State University	0	0	0	$0	0		
Morehead State University	0	2,069,500	0	$2,069,500	2,069,500		+ 1,408,800
Murray State University	4,808,400	2,293,200	2,395,900	$4,689,100	(119,300)		
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	2,572,400	7,425,200	$9,997,600	(163,800)		
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	3,688,100	507,600	$4,195,700	(197,200)		
Sector Subtotal	89,702,900	33,864,100	56,237,100	$90,101,200	398,300		
KCTCS	25,297,100	9,080,300	15,818,500	$24,898,800	(398,300)	}	(398,300)
	$115,000,000	$42,944,400	$72,055,600	$115,000,000	$0		$0

[1] **Base Adjustment:** Scenario 11 takes the institutional contribution used to fund the model in 2017 ($42.9 million) and provides a base adjustment to each contributing institution. The base adjustment is funded from the existing performance pool. KSU does not receive a base adjustment as the institution was exempted from the contribution in 2017. Aside from the base adjustment and subsequent reduction to the performance pool, no additional changes are made to the model.

MoSU Motion 4 - "Small School Adjustment - Revised"

Scenario 4.1: Base adjustment using 2017 institutional contribution to the performance pool, no parity adjustment

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment	Hypothetical Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment + Distribution	Difference by Institution		Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$13,351,400	$19,704,700	$33,056,100	($11,208,400)		($12,946,700)
University of Louisville	20,749,300	6,640,900	12,370,100	$19,011,000	(1,738,300)		
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	3,248,600	5,720,000	$8,968,600	3,642,200		
Kentucky State University	0	0	704,000	$704,000	704,000		
Morehead State University	0	2,069,500	2,491,700	$4,561,200	4,561,200		+ 13,345,100
Murray State University	4,808,400	2,293,200	3,789,500	$6,082,700	1,274,300		
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	2,572,400	5,201,100	$7,773,500	(2,387,900)		
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	3,688,100	6,256,100	$9,944,200	5,551,300		
Sector Subtotal	89,702,900	33,864,100	56,237,200	$90,101,300	398,400		
KCTCS	25,297,100	9,080,300	15,818,500	$24,898,800	(398,300)		(398,300)
	$115,000,000	$42,944,400	$72,055,700	$115,000,100	$100		$100

[1] **Base Adjustment:** Scenario 11.1 takes the institutional contribution used to fund the model in 2017 ($42.9 million) and provides a base adjustment to each contributing institution. The base adjustment is funded from the existing performance pool. KSU does not receive a base adjustment as the institution was exempted from the contribution in 2017. In addition to the base adjustment and reduction to the performance pool, funds are distributed by formula share of outcomes produced (no parity adjustment).