Council on Postsecondary Education
Public University Funding Model
Actual Distributions and Hypothetical Performance Distributions

Scenario 1: Base adjustment from Performance Pool (60%, allocated by current share of distribution) and distribution by each institution's share of production (i.e., Formula Share)

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment	Hypothetical Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment + Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$26,558,700	$12,572,282	$39,130,982	($5,133,518)	($5,540,655)
University of Louisville	20,749,300	12,449,600	7,892,563	$20,342,163	(407,137)	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	3,195,800	3,649,605	$6,845,405	1,519,005	+ 5,540,655
Kentucky State University	0	0	449,181	$449,181	449,181	
Morehead State University	0	0	1,589,804	$1,589,804	1,589,804	
Murray State University	4,808,400	2,885,000	2,417,810	$5,302,810	494,410	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	6,096,800	3,318,466	$9,415,266	(746,134)	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	2,635,700	3,991,590	$6,627,290	2,234,390	
Sector Subtotal	89,702,900	53,821,600	35,881,300	$89,702,900	(0)	
KCTCS	25,297,100	15,178,300	10,118,800	$25,297,100	0	+ 0
	$115,000,000	$68,999,900	$46,000,100	$115,000,000	($0)	($0)

[1] Scenario 4 takes 60% of the 2026-27 performance fund distribution and allocates it to each institution based on their share of the total 2026-27 distribution. KCTCS had 60% of its 2026-27 distribution rolled to base. The remaining funds are then run through the model using existing metrics, weights, and percentage shares. No parity adjustment is used, and funds are distributed by the formula share.

Council on Postsecondary Education
Public University Funding Model
Actual Distributions and Hypothetical Performance Distributions

Other - Consolidated Scenario Requests

Scenario 2: Add additional state appropriation to the existing model until parity is achieved

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$65,851,800	$21,587,300	$35,139,300
University of Louisville	20,749,300	34,301,300	$13,552,000	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	11,592,900	$6,266,500	+ 23,440,600
Kentucky State University	0	470,900	$470,900	
Morehead State University	0	100	$100	
Murray State University	4,808,400	8,959,900	$4,151,500	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	15,859,300	$5,697,900	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	11,246,600	$6,853,700	
Sector Subtotal	89,702,900	148,282,800	$58,579,900	
KCTCS	25,297,100	41,817,200	$16,520,100	+ 16,520,100
	$115,000,000	$190,100,000	$75,100,000	$75,100,000

[1] Scenario 5 examines the amount of additional state investment required to reach parity, with respect to institutional performance, in the existing performance funding model. This is operationalized as the amount of additional funding required to bring the institution with the largest hold harmless (MoSU) to the first $100 of distribution. In order to reach funding parity within the existing model, an additional $75.1 million would need to be invested.

Council on Postsecondary Education
Public University Funding Model
Actual Distributions and Hypothetical Performance Distributions

Scenario 3: External base adjustment to get all institutions to the sector median ANGF/FTE before running the model (parity adjustment)

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment	Hypothetical Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment + Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$19,929,100	$35,416,300	$55,345,400	$11,080,900	$18,037,200
University of Louisville	20,749,300	0	27,705,600	$27,705,600	6,956,300	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	4,923,700	3,619,400	$8,543,100	3,216,700	+ 11,886,300
Kentucky State University	0	0	95,500	$95,500	95,500	
Morehead State University	0	0	0	$0	0	
Murray State University	4,808,400	0	6,939,600	$6,939,600	2,131,200	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	4,281,000	8,805,300	$13,086,300	2,924,900	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	789,800	7,121,100	$7,910,900	3,518,000	
Sector Subtotal	89,702,900	29,923,600	89,702,800	$119,626,400	29,923,500	
KCTCS	25,297,100	8,440,000	25,297,200	$33,737,200	8,440,100	+ 8,440,100
	$115,000,000	$38,363,600	$115,000,000	$153,363,600	$38,363,600	$38,363,600

[1] Scenario 6 looks at each institution's ANGF per FTE appropriation and adds the value needed to get each university to the sector (R1/Comprehensive) median. Only universities below the median ANGF per FTE receive additional appropriation; KCTCS receives a proportionate base increase such that the 78%/22% ANGF share is maintained.

Scenario 3.1: External base adjustment to get all institutions to the sector median ANGF/FTE before running the model (no parity adjustment)

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment	Hypothetical Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment + Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$19,929,100	$31,430,600	$51,359,700	$7,095,200	$6,077,200
University of Louisville	20,749,300	0	19,731,300	$19,731,300	(1,018,000)	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	4,923,700	9,124,000	$14,047,700	8,721,300	+ 23,846,300
Kentucky State University	0	0	1,122,900	$1,122,900	1,122,900	
Morehead State University	0	0	3,974,500	$3,974,500	3,974,500	
Murray State University	4,808,400	0	6,044,500	$6,044,500	1,236,100	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	4,281,000	8,296,100	$12,577,100	2,415,700	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	789,800	9,978,900	$10,768,700	6,375,800	
Sector Subtotal	89,702,900	29,923,600	89,702,800	$119,626,400	29,923,500	
KCTCS	25,297,100	8,440,000	25,297,200	$33,737,200	8,440,100	+ 8,440,100
	$115,000,000	$38,363,600	$115,000,000	$153,363,600	$38,363,600	$38,363,600

[1] Scenario 6.1 looks at each institution's ANGF per FTE appropriation and adds the value needed to get each university to the sector (R1/Comprehensive) median. Only universities below the median ANGF per FTE receive additional appropriation. KCTCS receives a proportionate base increase such that the 78%/22% ANGF share is maintained.

Council on Postsecondary Education
Public University Funding Model
Actual Distributions and Hypothetical Performance Distributions

Scenario 4: External base adjustment using model-specified parity (with parity adjustment)

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment	Hypothetical Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment + Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$13,895,600	$36,953,800	$50,849,400	$6,584,900	$10,719,100
University of Louisville	20,749,300	1,684,500	23,199,000	$24,883,500	4,134,200	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	0	7,237,600	$7,237,600	1,911,200	+ 7,006,400
Kentucky State University	0	0	0	$0	0	
Morehead State University	0	0	0	$0	0	
Murray State University	4,808,400	0	6,075,000	$6,075,000	1,266,600	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	2,145,500	9,754,000	$11,899,500	1,738,100	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	0	6,483,400	$6,483,400	2,090,500	
Sector Subtotal	89,702,900	17,725,600	89,702,800	$107,428,400	17,725,500	
KCTCS	25,297,100	4,999,500	25,297,200	$30,296,700	4,999,600	+ 4,999,600
	$115,000,000	$22,725,100	$115,000,000	$137,725,100	$22,725,100	$22,725,100

[1] Scenario 7 takes column E from Table 4 of the 2026-27 model run and allocates an external appropriation to institutions considered to be underfunded relative to performance within the model. Universities that the model determines to be overfunded do not lose funding, and they are held at their existing adjusted net general fund. All other aspects of the model are retained, including a parity adjustment. KCTCS does not receive any additional appropriation, which shifts funding across sectors due to the changes in adjusted net general fund.

Scenario 4.1: External base adjustment using model-specified parity (without parity adjustment)

Institution	2026-27 Performance Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment	Hypothetical Distribution	Hypothetical Base Adjustment + Distribution	Difference by Institution	Difference by Sector
University of Kentucky	$44,264,500	$13,895,600	$31,430,600	$45,326,200	$1,061,700	$1,728,300
University of Louisville	20,749,300	1,684,500	19,731,400	$21,415,900	666,600	
Eastern Kentucky University	5,326,400	0	9,123,900	$9,123,900	3,797,500	+ 15,997,200
Kentucky State University	0	0	1,122,900	$1,122,900	1,122,900	
Morehead State University	0	0	3,974,500	$3,974,500	3,974,500	
Murray State University	4,808,400	0	6,044,500	$6,044,500	1,236,100	
Northern Kentucky University	10,161,400	2,145,500	8,296,100	$10,441,600	280,200	
Western Kentucky University	4,392,900	0	9,978,900	$9,978,900	5,586,000	
Sector Subtotal	89,702,900	17,725,600	89,702,800	$107,428,400	17,725,500	
KCTCS	25,297,100	4,999,500	25,297,200	$30,296,700	4,999,600	+ 4,999,600
	$115,000,000	$22,725,100	$115,000,000	$137,725,100	$22,725,100	$22,725,100

[1] Scenario 7.1 takes column E from Table 4 of the 2026-27 model run and allocates an external appropriation to institutions considered to be underfunded relative to performance within the model. Universities that the model determines to be overfunded do not lose funding, and they are held at their existing adjusted net general fund. All other aspects of the model are retained, except the parity adjustment in Table 5 is removed. The distribution is made based on each institution's formula share of production. KCTCS does not receive any additional appropriation, which shifts funding across sectors due to the changes in adjusted net general fund.